## Lecture 24

We'll prove the orbit-stabilizer theorem in this lecture. Let's see why "should" the theorem be true. Below, we'll find the number of rotational symmetries of a cube in two different ways. We'll see later that both of them are actually versions of the orbit-stabilizer theorem

Recall from own discussion of the dihedral group that a symmetry of an n-gon % a transformation which might change the places of vertices and edges but doesn't change the shape of the n-gon. But we can do the some thing with a cube. Let G be the group of rotational symmetries of a cube.



so for example, the above figure demonstra--tes the notation of the cube by 90° in the counterclockwise direction along the essis shown in red. The position of the vertices changed but the shape and size of the cube didn't. So this is an example of a rotational symmetry of the cube. We want to find out the # of all such symmetries, i.e., 1G1. Of course, you can just find it by brute force. However, we will be smarter (or atleast, pretend to be) and calculate it combinatorially (which we'll see to be basically using the Orbit. Stabilizer theorem).

Method L



Consider the face  $F_1$  of the cube (containing the vertices a, b, c, d). If we perform any rotational symmetry of the cube, the face  $F_1$  might change its position. Since there are 6 faces in a cube so the # of places where  $F_1$  can co = 6.

Now since we cannot change the shape and size of the cube, the vertices 9, b, c, d of the face F, only have the liberty to more among themselves. So once F, has chosen its place, there are a total of 4 rotations which will keep F, fixed best permute the vertices among themselves. e.g.











90° rotation about the green assis





## Method 2

In method I we worked with a face. Here, let's work with a vertex. Suppose, we choose a vertex 'a' in the cube. Following any rotation, 'a' has & choices to more around. But since the rotation must be a -symmetry => the immediate neighbour verti--ces of 1a', 'b', 'd' and 'e' must be attac--hed to it and can only more among themselves. Jo once 'a' has chosen a position, its immediate neighbours have 3 choices and hence for a notational symmetry, there are 8×3= 24 choices. So again |G| = 24.

Now how does the Orbit-Stabilizers theorem relates to finding IGI? Let GI = group of rotational =symmetries of the whee F = set of faces of the whee

and consider the action of G on F by taking a rotational symmetry and a face, say  $F_1$ , apply the rotational symmetry to the cube and look at place which  $F_1$  takes, which is again going to be some face of the cube and hence lies in F. What is  $|O_{F_1}|$ ? This is just the path which E takes under the action by G but it is

Fi takes under the action by G but it is just the # of choices for  $F_1 = 6$ . What is [Stab(Fi)]? Well,  $F_1$  will be stabilized under the action if the rotation doesn't change the position of  $F_1$ . But it can still change the position of vertices of  $F_1$  and hence  $[Stab(F_1)] = 4$ . Since the O-S theorem says  $|G_1| = |O_{F_1}| |Stab(F_1)|$  $= D |G_1| = 6 \cdot 4 = 24$ . So method  $\perp = 24$ . So method  $\perp = 24$ .

But we can do the some thing in method 2! Take G as it is and now consider the set as V= set of vertices of the cube The action of G on V is just pick a vertex, may 'a', act it by the rotational symmetry and look at it's new possition which will again be in V. One can find (by a similar reasoning as above) that  $|O_a| = 8$  and |Stab(a)| = 3 = 0  $|G_i| = |O_a| \cdot |Stab(a)| = 24$ .

Theorem [Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem]  
Let G be a group which acts on a set X.  
Let 
$$x \in X$$
. Then  $[G: Stab(x)] = |O_x|$ . If  
G is finite then  $|O_x| = \frac{|G|}{|Stab(x)|} = |G| = |O_x||Stab(x)|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{\text{roof}} \quad \text{Consider the set } C = \{g \text{Stab}(x) | g \in G_{n} \}, \\ & \text{the set of all left cosets of Stab}(x) in G_{n}. \\ & O_{x} = \{g \cdot x | g \in G_{n} \}, \quad \text{Define a map} \end{aligned}$$

$$T: C \longrightarrow O_x$$
 by

 $T(g \leq tab(x)) = g \cdot x$ 

We must check that T is well-defined (recall Principle 2) as it is map from the set of

## cosets. $\frac{Tio well-defined}{Let g Stab(x) = h Stab(x) = b h g Stab(x) = h Stab(x) = b h g Stab(x) = Stab(x) = b h^{-1}g \in Stab(x)$ $= b (h^{-1}g) \cdot x = x$ $= b h^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot x) = x [From point 2) is the definition of a group action 7$

We can act by he G on both sides of the above equation.  $h \cdot (h^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot x)) = h \cdot x = p \quad g \cdot (g \cdot x) = h \cdot x$   $= p \quad g \cdot x = h \cdot x$   $= p \quad T(g \cdot x) = T(h \cdot x)$ and hence  $T \circ w \in H - defined$ .

Tis one-one

Let  $T(g \operatorname{Stab}(x)) = T(h \operatorname{Stab}(x))$ = $P \quad g \cdot x = h \cdot x$ = $P \quad h^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot x) = h^{-1} \cdot (h \cdot x) = e \cdot x$ = $P \quad (h^{-1}g) \cdot x = x = P \quad h^{-1}g \in \operatorname{Stab}(x)$ (by the definition of  $\operatorname{Stab}(x)$ ) = $P \quad h^{-1}g \operatorname{Stab}(x) = \operatorname{Stab}(x)$ = $P \quad g \operatorname{Stab}(x) = h \operatorname{Stab}(x)$ ond hence  $T_{n0}$  one-one.

## T is onto

Let  $y \in O_X = 0$   $\exists g \in G \text{ soto } y = g \cdot x$ Consider the coset  $g \text{Stab}(x) \in C$ . Then by the definition of T $T(g \text{Stab}(x)) = g \cdot x = 0$   $T \approx \text{ onto.}$ 

So Tio a bijection b/us C and Ox.

But 
$$|C| = [G: Stab(x)]$$
  
=0  $[G: Stab(x)] = |O_x|$   
If G is finite =0  $[G: Stab(x)] = \frac{|G|}{|Stab(x)|}$   
=0  $|G| = |Stab(x)| \cdot |O_x|$   
III

Remark Note that the O-S Theorem holds  
for any group G with any action on  
any set X.  
So for example, if G acts on itself by  
conjugation the use saw in hec. 23 that  
$$Stab(g) = C(g)$$
, the centralizer of g in G.  
Thus in that case  
 $[G: G(g)] = [Og].$ 

As an application of the O-S Theorem, let's reprove Lagronge's Theorem.

Lagronge's Theorem If G is finite and H≤G =0 IHI ||GI. Proof Let C= {gH|g∈G { be the set of left cosets of H in G. Consider the action of G on C by G × C → C ×, gH → regH

i.e. multiply the group elements x and g and consider the coset containing xg. Consider the element  $H \in G$ . Stab(H) =  $z \approx G | x \cdot H = H z$ =  $z \approx G | x + H = H z$ = H So under this action Stab(H) = H. But from the D-S theorem, as G is finite [GI = |O<sub>H</sub>|] Stab(H)] = > [Stab(H)][G] => 1HI][GI

<u>(</u>]

So as you can see, we'll choose our set X as per our need and the action will be chosen accordingly. Then we can use the O-S Theorem to prove powerful theorems.